



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/645,220

08/20/2003

Jay G. Geertsen

43407.0007

7222

57600

7590

06/10/2008

HOLLAND & HART LLP

60 E. South Temple, Suite 2000

P.O. Box 11583

Salt Lake City, UT 84110

EXAMINER

SAINDON, WILLIAM V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/10/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,220	Applicant(s) GEERTSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner William V. Saindon	Art Unit 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) William V. Saindon. (3) Bryan K. Hanks (app. atty).
 (2) Beth Van Doren (USPTO). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: 1) video of customer testimonials praising Applicant's product, Smile Reminder. 2) Examples of competition's products.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ruckdashel (US 6,038,542).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed amendment/arguments for possibly overcoming 101 rejection. Discussed possible claim amendments. No agreements were made on any topic. Examiner awaits Applicant's next action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan G. Sterrett/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required